	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	Application its.	Applicant(s)
	08/813,829	HOGAN, BRIGID L. M.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph T. Woitach	1632
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.		
1. This communication is responsive to <u>June 30, 2006</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 4.38, 42 and 44.		
 3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the		
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.		
<u> </u>		
 5. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted. (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached 		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) attached 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of		
Paper No./Mail Date		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. ☐ Notice of Informal P	Patent Application
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Dotice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	6. Interview Summary	(PTO-413),
3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),	Paper No./Mail Dat 7.	te ment/Comment
Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit		ent of Reasons for Allowance
of Biological Material	9. ☐ Other	

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

This application filed March 6, 1997, is a continuation of 08/217,921, filed March 25, 1994, now US Patent 5,690,926, which is a continuation in part of 07/958,562, filed October 8, 1992, now US Patent 5,453,357.

Applicant's amendment filed June 30, 2006 has been received and entered. Claims 1-3, 5-41, 43 have been canceled. Claims 4, 38, 42 and 44 have been amended. Claims 4, 38, 42 and 44 are pending and currently under examination.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 4, 42, 44 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7, 15, 18-21, 23-28 of copending Application No. 10/327,400 is withdrawn.

This application is in condition for allowance, and will be allowed to go to issuance over the junior application.

Art Unit: 1632

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 4, 42 and 44 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement is withdrawn.

The claims have been amended to recite and encompass a specific cell type generally disclosed, and specifically provided in the working examples of the present disclosure.

Claims 4, 42 and 44 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention is withdrawn.

As noted above, the claims have been amended to recite and encompass a specific cell type generally disclosed, and specifically provided in the working examples of the present disclosure.

Reasons for Allowance

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The claims have been amended to reflect clearly a PGC cell line derived by methods enabled by the present disclosure. At the time of filing, general methods for isolating PGC cells

Art Unit: 1632

were known, and reduced to practice for the mouse. However, the prior art fails to specifically anticipate or make obvious the cell type provided in the present disclosure, and encompassed by the present claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Woitach whose telephone number is (571) 272-0739.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla, can be reached at (571) 272-0735.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group analyst Dianiece Jacobs whose telephone number is (571) 272-0532.

Joseph T. Woitach

JOSEPH WOTTACH, PALD.

Jol Wolfast